Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

arXiv:1701.03518v1 [hep-th] 12 Jan 2017

# Junctions of refined Wilson lines and one-parameter deformation of quantum groups.

**Sungbong Chun**

*Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA*

Abstract: We study junctions of Wilson lines in refined SU(N) Chern-Simons theory and their local relations. We focus on junctions of Wilson lines in antisymmetric and symmetric powers of the fundamental representation and propose a set of local relations which realize one-parameter deformations of quantum groups $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ and $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n|m})$.

CALT-TH-2017-002

## Contents


**1. Introduction** **1**

**2. Review: junctions in ordinary Chern-Simons theory** **3**
- 2.1 Expectation values and local relations of Wilson lines 3
- 2.2 Wilson lines with junctions and their relations 4
- 2.3 Why junctions? Computability, MOY graph polynomials, and quantum groups 6
- 2.4 Junctions in a five-brane configuration 6

**3. Compatibility of junctions and refinement** **7**
- 3.1 Construction of a vector field on $L_\Gamma \subset M_3$ 8
- 3.2 Kinematics of refined junctions 9

**4. Global corrections: $\hat{N}^Q_{RR'}$ and $\gamma^Q_{RR'}$** **10**

**5. Refined skew-Howe duality and $\beta$-deformed $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$** **13**
- 5.1 Refined commutation and Serre relations 15
- 5.2 Rescaling of quantum group generators 18

**6. Refined super Howe duality and $\beta$-deformed quantum supergroup** **19**
- 6.1 Symmetric commutation relation 20
- 6.2 Mixed-color commutation relation 23

**7. Discussions** **23**

**A. A no-go theorem: the refined commutation relation of junctions cannot be put into a categorification-friendly commutation relation of quantum group generators** **24**


## 1. Introduction

Chern-Simons gauge theory is a 3d TQFT which has long served as a bridge between quantum physics and knot theory. Its gauge invariant observables, the Wilson loop operators, are supported on knots/links $K \subset S^3$. When the gauge group is $SU(N)$, their expectation values are equal to $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot polynomials of $K$ [1]. The correspondence extends to the spectrum of BPS states and the homological invariants of knots. $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot polynomials can be "categorified" to $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot homologies in a sense that the latter has the former as its graded dimension [2–5].

Physically, the expectation value of a Wilson loop operator can be categorified to the spectrum of BPS states in a configuration of intersecting M5 branes. The former is equal to the graded dimension of the latter [6–10], and the spectrum of BPS states themselves realize the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot homologies [11–13].




**Figure 1:** LHS: a junctions of three Wilson lines colored in $R_1, R_2, R_3$ such that $0 \in R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes R_3$. At the junction, we place a gauge invariant tensor in $Hom_G(R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes R_3, \mathbb{C})$. RHS: an equivalent junction, with $R_1$-strand reversed and replaced by its complex dual.

We can also introduce junctions of Wilson lines as in Figure 1. By placing a gauge invariant tensor at each junction, we can define a gauge invariant observable for every trivalent graph in $S^3$ [14]. Upon path integral, a network of Wilson lines in a 3-manifold $M_3$ with punctured boundaries fix a vector in the associated Hilbert space $H_{\{\partial M_3; R_1, \cdots, R_n\}}$, where $\{R_i\}$ are the representation of Wilson lines crossing the boundary. When the Hilbert space $H_{\{\partial M_3; R_1, \cdots, R_n\}}$ is finite-dimensional, sufficiently many Wilson lines sharing the same boundary condition $\{\partial M_3; R_1, \cdots, R_n\}$ would satisfy a linear relation. In [15], networks of Wilson lines in spin representations (of $SU(N)$) were shown to satify a set of linear relations which can be identified with the generating relations of a quantum group. One can repeat the same procedure for networks of Wilson lines in antisymmetric representations, and a set of linear relations among them realize the generating relations of (idempotented) quantum groups $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ [16]. Below, we provide networks of Wilson lines which correspond to the generators of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ (Figure 2.) They are "generators" in a sense that their multiplication (vertical stacking) and addition (formal sum) generate the entire $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ as an algebra. As generators of the quantum group, they also satisfy the generating relations of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$, which can be found in Equation 1.1.




**Figure 2:** Skew-Howe duality functor of [17]: a correspondence between the generators of (idempotented) quantum group $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ and network of Wilson lines. Here, $\lambda = (k_1, \cdots, k_m)$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}_m$ weight, and $k_i$ stands for $\wedge^{k_i}\square$, the $k_i$-th antisymmetric representation of SU(N).

$$1_\lambda 1_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'} 1_\lambda, \quad E_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda+l_i} E_i, \quad F_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda-l_i} F_i,$$
$$[E_i, F_j] 1_\lambda = \delta_{i,j} [\lambda_i] 1_\lambda, \quad [E_i, E_j] 1_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| > 1, \tag{1.1}$$
$$\text{and} \quad E_i E_j E_i 1_\lambda = E_i^{(2)} E_j 1_\lambda + E_j E_i^{(2)} 1_\lambda \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| = 1,$$

where $l_i = (0, \cdots, 0, -1, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ in the same basis as $\lambda = (k_1, \cdots, k_m)$, and $-1$ appears in the $i$-th position.

In this paper, we generalize the above correspondence betwen networks of Wilson lines and quantum groups to the junctions in refined Chern-Simons theory. When Chern-Simons theory is defined on a Seifert manifold, its embedding into a five-brane configuration has an extra $U(1)_\beta$ flavor symmetry, and the expectation values of Wilson loops are "refined" by a one-parameter deformation [18]. Networks of Wilson lines can also be embedded in five-brane configurations [16], which also admit an extra flavor symmetry. As a result, local relations of Wilson lines are refined to the $\beta$-deformed quantum group $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{q_1,q_2}(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ relations, which are presented in two forms, one with twisted commutators and the other with ordinary commutators. For the networks of refined Wilson lines, however, the normalization ambiguities of the junctions [14] are not yet fully understood, and we can only suppose a particular choice of the normalization.

## 2. Review: junctions in ordinary Chern-Simons theory

Let us briefly review here the junctions in ordinary Chern-Simons theory [14] and their embeddings in a five-brane configuration [16].

### 2.1 Expectation values and local relations of Wilson lines

Consider Chern-Simons theory on a 3-manifold $M_3$ with a gauge group $G$ and level $k$. A Wilson loop operator is a gauge invariant observable, defined by taking a holonomy of the gauge field $A$ along a prescribed loop $C$ and then taking a trace in a $SU(N)$ representation $R$. It is necessary to specify the "framing" of the Wilson loop as well, and we choose a vertical framing throughout this paper.

$$W_R(C) = \mathrm{Tr}_R \int_C A. \tag{2.1}$$

When $G = SU(N)$, $M_3 = S^3$, and $R = \square$ (the fundamental representation), we can easily compute the expectation values of Wilson loop operators by repeatedly using the skein relation (Figure 3) and the expectation value of an unknot [1].




**Figure 3:** Skein relation in ordinary $SU(N)$ Chern-Simons theory. The Wilson lines are lying in a closed 3-ball, vertically framed, and colored in $\square$, the fundamental representation. Here, $q = e^{\pi i/(N+k)}$.

Each term of Figure 3 lies in a closed 3-ball, and the ends of Wilson lines meet the boundary $S^2$ (so there are four punctures on $S^2$, colored by $\square, \square, \bar{\square}, \bar{\square}$.) A path integral on the closed 3-ball fixes a vector in the associated Hilbert space $H_{\{S^2;\square,\square,\bar{\square},\bar{\square}\}}$. The Hilbert space is isomorphic to the space of conformal blocks of $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}(N)}_k$ WZW model on $\{S^2;\square,\square,\bar{\square},\bar{\square}\}$, which is two-dimensional. Therefore, the skein relation represents a linear relation of three vectors in the two-dimensional vector space $H_{\{S^2;\square,\square,\bar{\square},\bar{\square}\}}$,

$$q^{-1/N}|\phi\rangle - q^{1/N}|\phi'\rangle + (q-q^{-1})|\phi''\rangle = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $|\phi\rangle, |\phi'\rangle, |\phi''\rangle \in H_{\{S^2;\square,\square,\bar{\square},\bar{\square}\}}$ are the vectors fixed by performing a path integral on Wilson line configurations of Figure 3. The coefficients are determined from the eigenvalues of the "half-monodromy" action on the Hilbert space.

The skein relation is "local", in a sense that it holds upon cutting and gluing. For instance, let us glue the Wilson lines in Figure 3 (inside the dashed circle of Figure 4) with another Wilson line configuration in $S^3 \setminus B^3$ (outside the dashed circle), along the boundary $S^2$ (dashed circle.) From a vantage point of 3d TQFT, such a "gluing" action is equivalent to




**Figure 4:** A "braid closure" of Wilson lines involved in a skein relation. Again, the Wilson lines are colored in the fundamental representation and vertically framed.

taking inner products of vectors. Let $\langle\psi|$ represent a vector fixed by a path integral outside the dashed circle of Figure 4. Since the gluing in Figure 4 is simply an orientation-reversed identity morphism along the boundary $S^2$, $\langle\psi|$ lives in the dual space of $H_{\{S^2;\square,\square,\bar{\square},\bar{\square}\}}$. Take inner products of $\langle\psi|$ with $|\phi\rangle, |\phi'\rangle, |\phi''\rangle$, and each inner product corresponds to a partition function $Z(S^3, K)$ where $K$ is one of the Wilson loops in Figure 4. Then, Figure 4 corresponds to an equation:

$$q^{-1/N}\langle\psi|\phi\rangle - q^{1/N}\langle\psi|\phi'\rangle + (q-q^{-1})\langle\psi|\phi''\rangle = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

It is by this "locality" of skein relations that we can combinatorially compute the expectation value $\langle W_{\square}(C)\rangle$ for a given knot $C \subset S^3$. Fix a projection of $C$, and repeatedly apply skein relations until all the crossings are resolved. Then, $C$ is written as a linear sum of disjoint unions of unknots in $S^3$, whose expectation values are already known.

### 2.2 Wilson lines with junctions and their relations

As was briefly explained in the beginning, we can introduce junctions of Wilson lines and define gauge invariant observables supported on them. Consider a junction of $n$ Wilson lines colored in $R_1, \cdots, R_n$. Place a gauge invariant tensor $\epsilon \in Hom_G(R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n, \mathbb{C})$ at the junction. Given a closed graph of Wilson lines, we can contract the representation indices

of Wilson lines with the gauge invariant tensors placed at each junctions and define a gauge invariant observable supported on the closed graph [14].

Now, consider a closed 3-ball around a network of Wilson lines, which meets the boundary $S^2$ at punctures $R_1, \cdots, R_n$. Performing a path integral, we can fix a vector in the associated Hilbert space $H_{\{S^2;R_1,\cdots,R_n\}}$. The dimension of $H_{\{S^2;R_1,\cdots,R_n\}}$ is equal to the dimension of the $G$-invariant subspace of $R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n$, which is finite-dimensional. When multiple networks of Wilson lines agree on the boundary $\{S^2; R_1, \cdots, R_n\}$, they define vectors in the same Hilbert space, and sufficiently many of them would satisfy a linear relation. Just like the skein relation, it is "local" and holds upon cutting and gluing. In general, its coefficients depend on normalizations of the gauge invariant tensors. In [16], a particular normalization was chosen for the trivalent junctions of Wilson lines in antisymmetric representations, so that they satisfy the relations in Figure 5. Here, we adopt a notation for the quantum binomials of $q$ for convenience:

$$[i] = \frac{q^i - q^{-i}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [i]! = [i][i-1]\cdots[1], \quad \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[i+j]!}{[i]![j]!}. \tag{2.4}$$




**Figure 5:** Local relations for the networks of Wilson lines in antisymmetric representations. $i, j, k$ are integers between 0 and $N$, and our convention is that the integer labels $i, j, k$ represent the antisymmetric powers of the fundamental representation, $\wedge^i\square, \wedge^j\square, \wedge^k\square$. $h_R$ represents the conformal weight of the corresponding primary field in $\widehat{su(N)}_k$ WZW model

### 2.3 Why junctions? Computability, MOY graph polynomials, and quantum groups

In fact, the normalization of junctions in Figure 5 are chosen such that they realize the "MOY graph relations" and "$N$Web category", which appeared earlier in the knot theory literatures, [19] and [17] respecitvely. Wilson lines in Figure 5 can be glued into closed planar trivalent graphs, whose edges are colored by integers between 0 and $N$. These are exactly the "MOY graphs" appeared in [19], and the relations in Figure 5 uniquely determine their "MOY graph polynomials." Moreover, as long as the coloring of end points agree, a crossing can be written as a linear sum of MOY graphs, and we can write each colored $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot polynomial as a linear sum of MOY graph polynomials.

In Chern-Simons theory, the resolution of crossings via MOY graphs corresponds to writing a Wilson loop in an antisymmetric representation as a linear sum of Wilson line networks in antisymmetric representations. Such technique can be particularly useful for computing the expectation values of Wilson loops colored in antisymmetric representations $\wedge^i\square$ for $i > 1$. The generalized skein relation for braided Wilson lines in $\wedge^i\square$ will involve higher twists in general, so it will be difficult to reduce the number of crossings by applying the generalized skein relations. On the contrary, we can always write the Wilson loop operator as a linear sum of Wilson line networks, and then we can apply local relations in Figure 5 in a way that the number of junctions decreases.

Besides the advantages in computability, relations in Figure 5 generate the defining relations of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ (Equation 1.1) under the skew-Howe duality functor (Figure 2) [17]. The quantum groups of interest are one-parameter deformations of the universal enveloping algebra of a classical Lie group [20, 21], and they can be explicitly written as in Equation 1.1. We can view the skew-Howe duality functor in Figure 2 as the networks of Wilson lines in antisymmetric representations comprising a representation of quantum groups $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$. This is how we connect networks of Wilson lines, knot polynomials, and the representation theory of quantum groups.

### 2.4 Junctions in a five-brane configuration

The correspondence among networks of Wilson lines, knot polynomials, and the representation theory of quantum groups extends to their categorifications [16]. $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot homologies are defined from a homotopy category of matrix factorizations, and their constructions became fully combinatorial in the context of the representation theory of categorified quantum groups [22, 23]. Physical realization of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot homologies were proposed in [16, 24–26], connecting the matrix factorizations of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ homologies with those from the topological defects in Landau-Ginzburg models [27–30]. In particular, the combinatorial construction of [22] is based on seamed surfaces and the associated matrix factorizations, which can be reproduced from a

five-brane configuration of intersecting branes [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Space-time}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times T^*M_3 \times M_4 \\ N\,\text{M5-branes}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times M_3 \times D \\ |R|\,\text{M5'-branes}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times L_\Gamma \times D \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Above, $M_3$ is a 3-manifold, which will be assumed to be $S^3$ throughout this paper. $D \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \subset M_4 = TN$ is the "cigar" in the Taub-Nut space, and $\Gamma$ represents a trivalent junction of Wilson lines, colored in $SU(N)$ representations $R, R', R''$ such that $R = R' \otimes R''$. Lastly, $L_\Gamma$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*M_3$ intersecting $M_3$ along $\Gamma$. The above brane construction has $U(1)_P \times U(1)_F$ symmetry, where each factors are the rotation symmetries of $D$ and its normal bundle $D \subset M_4$, respectively.

The seamed surface $\mathbb{R}_t \times \Gamma$ is precisely the kind of seamed surfaces that appear in [22]. Although the given five-brane configuration is "static" in the "time" direction $\mathbb{R}_t$, we need to allow the seamed surfaces to fuse/split along the time direction as well, because the cobordisms in time direction correspond to the differentials of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ knot homology in [22]. The whole five-brane setup preserves (at least) two real supercharges, and we can use them to obtain a 2d Landau-Ginzburg model on each facet of the seamed surface. B-type defects live on the junctions of surfaces, and their matrix factorization descriptions precisely coincide with those of [22, 29].

## 3. Compatibility of junctions and refinement

Now we are ready to refine the junctions. Recall that each junction of Wilson lines comes with a gauge invariant tensor. We cannot immediately refine the gauge invariant tensor itself, because the gauge theory description of refined Chern-Simons theory is yet unknown. Thus, it is necessary to study the refinement of junctions from their embeddings in five-brane configurations (Equation 2.5.) Let us reproduce a five-brane setup of [18] here:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Space-time}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times T^*M_3 \times M_4 \\ N\,\text{M5-branes}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times M_3 \times D \\ |R|\,\text{M5'-branes}: &\quad \mathbb{R}_t \times L_K \times D \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Above, $M_3$ is a Seifert manifold, which will be assumed to be $M_3 = S^3$ throughout this paper. $D \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \subset M_4 = TN$ is the "cigar" in the Taub-Nut space, $K$ represents a Wilson loop colored in a $SU(N)$ representation $R$, and $L_K$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*M_3$ intersecting $M_3$ along $K$. $M_4$ is twisted, so that $(z_1, z_2) \to (qz_1, tz_2)$ as one goes around the "time" direction. For general $M_3$, a prescription $qt = 1$ is necessary to preserve supersymmetry. When $M_3$ is a Seifert manifold, however, it has a semi-free $U(1)$ action on it, which defines a nowhere vanishing vector field on $M_3$. Then, at each point of $M_3$, we can

define a 2-plane in the fiber of $T^*M$ such that the plane is orthogonal to the vector field. Then, the five-brane configuration of Equation 3.1 has an extra rotation symmetry of these 2-planes, which will be denoted as $U(1)_\beta$ flavor symmetry. The extra $U(1)_\beta$ flavor symmetry can be used to preserve the supersymmetry, and now we can lift the $qt = 1$ prescription.

### 3.1 Construction of a vector field on $L_\Gamma \subset M_3$

Now, let us check if the brane setup in Equation 2.5 allows such refinement when $M_3$ is a Seifert manifold. We wish to find a $U(1)$ rotation symmetry in $T^*M_3$, which leaves $L_\Gamma$ invariant. The easiest way to obtain one is to construct a vector field on $M_3$ which is tangent to $\Gamma$. Then, the orthogonal vectors in the fiber of $T^*M_3$ comprise a rank-2 subbundle, whose restriction to $\Gamma \subset M_3$ is precisely $L_\Gamma$. We can inductively construct such a vector field by locally perturbing a pre-existing vector field around the junctions.




**Figure 6:** (a) Two Wilson lines $W$ (left) and $\hat{W}$ (right) which are locally different, with and without two junctions in the red dashed square. (b) field line near the conductor in an external field.

Let us start with a base case, a Wilson line $W$ with only two junctions (see Figure 6(a).) As $W$ resembles an unknot outside the red dashed square (which is in fact a cylinder), we may consider another Wilson line $\hat{W}$ obtained from $W$ by replacing the interior of the red dashed square with a straight Wilson line. Since $\hat{W}$ is only an unknot, there is a nonvanishing vector field on $M_3$, which is tangent to $\hat{W}$. We can safely assume that this "external" vector field is uniform inside the red dashed square, pointing downwards in Figure 6(a). To define a vector field tangent to $\Gamma$ inside the red dashed square, we consider the vector field as "electric" and place two small spherical conductors $P_1$ and $P_2$ at each junctions (see Figure 6(b).) Upon the insertion, the field lines are normal to the spherical shell of conductors and vanish nowhere except for the interior of $P_1$ and $P_2$. Now, draw radial field lines from the position of junctions towards the boundary of $P_1$ and $P_2$. The resultant vector field vanishes only at the junctions, is tangent to the trivalent graph, and differs only locally from that of $\hat{W}$.

Next, let us assume that we can define a vector field tangent to any graphs with $2k$ junctions (junctions must always appear in even numbers, for all of them are trivalent.) Arbitrarily chose a trivalent graph with $2k+2$ junctions. Pick any two adjacent two junctions, and get rid of them as in Figure 7.

Here, we are considering the two adjacent junctions to be "close", in a sense that they are contained in a small 3-ball. In the modified graph, the new edges are drawn so that they are parallel to the original (thick blue) edge, in a sense that they do not introduce any unnecessary crossings. The resultant graph has only $2k$ junctions, so by assumption there is a vector field with all the desired properties. Above the red line, in particular, the vector




**Figure 7:** Induction step from $2k$ junctions to $2k+2$ junctions.

field will be parllel and tangent to the two newly added edges, for the two edges are "close enough" to the original blue edge. Therefore, as we insert two zero-size conducting spheres at the junctions, we obtain the desired vector field for the original trivalent graph.

### 3.2 Kinematics of refined junctions

Before proceeding further, let us briefly discuss the kinematics of refined junctions. So far, the representations of Wilson lines were not specified. In ordinary Chern-Simons theory, the representations $R$ are the integrable representations of $SU(N)_k$, and the dimension of the space of conformal blocks associated to a 3-puncutred $S^2$ coincides with the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $N_{ijk}$. In the refined case, although $N_{ijk}$ is no more an integer, the Hilbert space remains the same (thus, $N_{ijk}$ is no more considered as the dimension of the Hilbert space.) In particular, the Hilbert space associated to a solid torus $H_{T^2}$ remains unchanged upon refinement, which is spanned by vectors $|R\rangle$ obtained by inserting Wilson line in an integrable representation $R$ inside the solid torus. We can furthermore endow the Hilbert space with an inner product, where the metric $\langle R|R'\rangle = g_R^{R'}$ is diagonal and moreover Hermitian.

If we put two Wilson lines colored in $R$ and $R'$ inside a solid torus, a path integral fixes a vecor in $H_{T^2}$. Next, consider another solid torus without any Wilson lines inside. Gluing the two solid tori via the orientation reversing identity morphism, we obtain $S^2 \times S^1$ with two Wilson lines in $R$ and $R'$ along the non-contractible 1-cycle. The partition function is given by:

$$\langle 0|R, R'\rangle = Z(S^2 \times S^1; R, R') = \langle R^*|R'\rangle = g_R^{R'}.$$

In other words, $|R, R'\rangle$ can be written as $g_R^{R'}/g_0^0|0\rangle + \cdots$. Since $g_R^{R'}$ is diagonal, we can see that $|R, R'\rangle$ has a nontrivial $|0\rangle$ component if and only if $R^* = R'$. In other words, the dimension of $H_{\{S^2;R,R'\}}$ is nonzero if and only if $0 \in R \otimes R'$. We can do the same with three Wilson lines to get $|R, R', R''\rangle = N_{R,R',R''}/g_0^0|0\rangle + \cdots$ and conclude that the dimension of $H_{\{S^2;R,R',R''\}}$ is nonzero if and only if $0 \in R \otimes R' \otimes R''$.

Therefore, the "charge conservation" for refined Chern-Simons theory works exactly the same way as in ordinary Chern-Simons theory, and we can furthermore argue that the dimension of $H_{\{S^2;R,R',R''\}}$ is equal to the dimension of $Inv_G(R \otimes R' \otimes R'')$. In other words, the three Wilson lines in representations $R, R', R''$ are allowed to form a junction only when

$0 \in R \otimes R' \otimes R''$. This "charge conservation" argument extends to arbitrary number of punctures, and we conclude that the same set of refined Wilson lines on a punctured sphere will satisfy a linear relation as in the ordinary Chern-Simons theory, while the coefficient may be refined. In particular, all dynamics of junctions involving the dual representations are identical. For instance, a Wilson line colored in $R$ is equivalent to the Wilson line colored in $\bar{R}$ with reverse orientation.




**Figure 8:** Wilson lines representing the same vector in $H_{S^2;R,R',R''}$, where $0 \in R \otimes R' \otimes R''$.

We assume throughout this paper that we can normalize the junction degrees of freedom so that the Wilson lines in Figure 8 represent the same vector in $H_{\{S^2;R,R',R''\}}$. This is only an assumption, for we do not fully understand the junction degrees of freedom in refined Chern-Simons theory. Unlike ordinary Chern-Simons theory, the refined theory does not have a gauge theory description, so we cannot simply place gauge invariant tensors at junctions to define a gauge invariant observable. But then, how do we know whether there are junction degrees of freedom to normalize? In [16], the gauge invariant tensors were normalized so that junctions of Wilson lines $\Gamma$ categorify to the interface of Landau-Ginzburg models on $\mathbb{R}_t \times \Gamma$. For exampe, the "digon removal" relation in Figure 5 is categorified to a fusion of two interfaces in Landau-Ginzburg models. Chiral superfields and boundary BRST operators of the B-type defects constitute the matrix factorization descriptions of the interfaces, and the fusion of interfaces corresponds to a tensor product of matrix factorizations. In case of the fusion shown in the digon removal relation, its matrix factorization decomposes into a direct sum of degree-shifted identity defects, whose graded dimension precisely equals the proportionality factor on the RHS. Since the $U(1)_\beta$ flavor symmetry is present in the five-brane setup of [16], the matrix factorization of the interfaces will be refined as well, and we can interpret the normalization of junctions as the associated graded dimension. Yet, the refined theory of surface operators is a distant goal, and we leave it for future works.

## 4. Global corrections: $\hat{N}^Q_{RR'}$ and $\gamma^Q_{RR'}$

We will shortly discuss local relations which comprise the $\beta$-deformed $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ relations. But before proceeding further, we have to discuss certain "global corrections", which is an exquisite feature of the refined theory. These global corrections arise when homotopy of Wilson lines wrap the entire orbit of $U(1)$ action on $M_3$. Let us illustrate two simple cases.

Consider two parallel Wilson lines in representation $R$ and $R'$ inside a closed 3-ball. The vector field is uniformly upward, but locally perturbed in the vicinity of junctions. Dimension

of the Hilbert space is equal to $\sum_{Q\in R\otimes R'} N^Q_{RR'}$, summed over irreducible representations $Q$. When all $Q$'s are non-degenerate (that is, $N^Q_{RR'}=1$), the Wilson lines in the LHS of Figure 9 satify a local relation which can be considered as an idempotent decomposition of the identity morphism $id:(R\otimes R')\to(R\otimes R')$:




**Figure 9:** Idempotent decomposition of identity Wilson lines.

The second identity is obtained by vertically composing the first identity, and the summation $\sum_Q\sum_{Q'}$ simplifies to $\sum_Q$ by the fact that $H_{\{S^2,Q,\bar{Q}'\}}$ is non-vanishing if and only if $Q=Q'$. Moreover, since $H_{\{S^2,Q,\bar{Q}\}}$ is one-dimensional, the Wilson line configuration with a "digon" in the red dashed rectangle in Figure 9 must be proportional to a straignt Wilson line colored in $Q$. Let us put the proportionality constant as $D^Q_{RR'}$. Then, the following equality must hold:

$$C^Q_{RR'}=(C^Q_{RR'})^2D^Q_{RR'}\quad\Rightarrow\quad C^Q_{RR'}=\frac{1}{D^Q_{RR'}}.$$

However, we will encounter a contradiction if we allow the "digon removal" relation to hold globally. Let us close the Wilson lines of the first identity in Figure 9, as shown in Figure 10. Upon gluing the two 3-balls, the LHS becomes two disjoint unknots colored in $R$ and $R'$, while the RHS becomes a linear sum of Wilson lines with an extended digon. If we smoothly deform the extended digon to the other side, we obtain the second equality in Figure 10.




**Figure 10:** A "naive" equality involving global homotopy which wraps around an entire orbit of $U(1)$ action on $S^3$.

But as we apply the digon removal relation on the RHS of Figure 10, we obtain the following identity.

$$M_R(q_2^{\rho_N};q_1,q_2)M_{R'}(q_2^{\rho_N};q_1,q_2)=\sum_{Q\in R\otimes R'}M_Q(q_2^{\rho_N};q_1,q_2),\tag{4.1}$$

where $M_R(q_2^{\rho_N}; q_1, q_2)$ is a Macdonald polynomial for representation $R$ of SU(N), with $\rho_N = \big((N-1)/2, (N-3)/2, \cdots, (1-N)/2\big)$. The two parameters $q_1$ and $q_2$ are $\beta$-deformation of $q = e^{2\pi i/(k+N)}$ in orindary Chern-Simons theory, $q_1 = e^{2\pi i/(k+\beta N)}, q_2 = e^{2\pi i\beta/(k+\beta N)}$. However, this identity is not true in general! The reason for the obvious contradiction is very simple: the LHS of the identity implies that the two unknots are disjoint. In other words, we can move them far apart, and evaluate their expectation values using the connected sum formula of [1]. However, the global homotopy of shrinking the digon goes around entire $U(1)$ orbit of $M_3$, and it forces the two unknots to be close to each other (for digons were local, *i.e.*, close to each other.) The two interpretations are obviously different, and we can remedy the obvious contradiction by implementing a global correction which relates the two "globally" homotopic Wilson lines:




**Figure 11:** "Global correction" from a homotopy which wraps around a $U(1)$ orbit of $S^3$.

The generalized Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $\hat{N}^Q_{RR'}$ is not equal to 1 in general [31], and the global correction changes Equation 4.1 into:

$$M_R(q_2^{\rho_N}; q_1, q_2) M_{R'}(q_2^{\rho_N}; q_1, q_2) = \sum_{Q \in R \otimes R'} \hat{N}^Q_{RR'} M_Q(q_2^{\rho_N}; q_1, q_2). \tag{4.2}$$

And Equation 4.2 holds for any $R$ and $R'$. Again, the extra factor of $\hat{N}^Q_{RR'}$ seemingly destroys the topological nature of the theory, but in fact the expectation values of two Wilson lines of Figure 11 are evaluated in two different ways: on LHS we consider the two junctions as local perturbations of two parallel Wilson lines colored in $R$ and $R'$, while on RHS they are considered as local perturbations of a single Wilson line colored in $Q$. In terms of surface operators and their interfaces, the LHS evaluates the trace of $(R \otimes R' \to Q) * (Q \to R \otimes R')$ while the RHS evaluates the trace of $(Q \to R \otimes R') * (R \otimes R' \to Q)$ (here, the trace means the graded dimension of identity morphisms in the direct sum decomposition.) The naive equality asserts that the two must be equal, while the Equation 4.2 shows that a non-trivial correction is inevitable.

The gamma factor which appears in the refined expectation value of torus knots [32] also acquires an interpretation this way: it can be considred as a "global correction" to the braiding operator near the vertex of $R, R, Q$, as shown in Figure 12. In Figure 12, $T^n$ represents a righthanded $(2, n)$-braid. Such a global correction is necessary to prevent another naive equality which fails in general. Unfortunately, we cannot derive the global corrections in the refined theory, as it requires a complete understanding of junction degrees of freedom.




**Figure 12:** Gamma factor as a global correction of braided idempotents

## 5. Refined skew-Howe duality and $\beta$-deformed $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$

In this section, we propose refined web relations of Wilson lines. It was shown in [16] that the entire list of web relations in ordinary Chern-Simons theory can be derived from the relations of Figure 13.

We will refine the commutation relation shortly, so let us focus on a refinement of the other relations in Figure 13. As was argued in subection 3.2, these relations are refined by a $\beta$-deformation of their coefficients. We provide here a proposal (there might as well be other choices) to refine the relations in Figure 13, following the dictionary (3.27) of [33].

- associativity relation: in ordinary Chern-Simons theory, the Wilson lines in the associativity relation are categorified to the fusion of interfaces, whose behaviors are analogous to partial symmetrization of $i+j+k$ variables. In this viewpoint, the associativity relation implies the order of partial symmetrization is not important. We may expect the same to hold in the refined matrix factorizations, and we leave the associativity relation unaltered upon refinement.

- digon removal: the key intuition is that when $i+j=N$, the digon removal relation coincides with the circle removal relation. Therefore, the natural choice will be replacing the quantum binomial $\begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ by $M_{\wedge^i\square}(q_2^{\rho_{i+j}}; q_1, q_2)$, where $\rho_{i+j}$ is given by $\left(\frac{(i+j)-1}{2}, \frac{(i+j)-3}{2}, \cdots, \frac{1-(i+j)}{2}\right)$. Here, we have treated $q_1$ and $q_2$ as formal variables.

- braiding relations: the phase factors $e^{i\pi h_R} = q^{C_2(R)}$ allows a natural way to upgrade via (3.27) of [33], namely, $q_1^{\frac{1}{2}||R||^2} q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}||R^t||^2} q_2^{\frac{N}{2}|R|} q_1^{-\frac{1}{2N}|R|^2}$. Since the Wilson lines of interest are all vertically framed, we must not multiply the braid operator by an extra factor (as in [33]) to canonically frame the Wilson lines.

Now we can proceed to derive other $\beta$-deformed quantum group relations. To avoid




**Figure 13:** Local relations of Wilson lines which determine the expectation values of all trivalent graphs

clutter, we will use the following short-hand notations:

$$M_k^m := M_{\wedge^k\square}(q_2^{\rho_m}; q_1, q_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_2^{\frac{m-i+1}{2}} - q_2^{-\frac{m-i+1}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{k-i+1}{2}} - q_2^{-\frac{k-i+1}{2}}},$$

$$[j]_{q_1} = \frac{q_1^{j/2} - q_1^{-j/2}}{q_1^{1/2} - q_1^{-1/2}}, \quad [j]_{q_2} = \frac{q_2^{j/2} - q_2^{-j/2}}{q_2^{1/2} - q_2^{-1/2}},$$

$$[j]_\wedge = \frac{q_1^{1/2} q_2^{(j-1)/2} - q_1^{-1/2} q_2^{-(j-1)/2}}{q_1^{1/2} - q_1^{-1/2}}, \quad [j]_s = \frac{q_2^{1/2} q_1^{(j-1)/2} - q_2^{-1/2} q_1^{-(j-1)/2}}{q_2^{1/2} - q_2^{-1/2}}$$

which allows us to write some generalized Littewood-Richardson coefficients in a simpler form:

$\hat{N}^{\wedge^{(j+1)}\square}_{\square,\wedge^j\square} = [j+1]_{q_2}/[j+1]_\wedge$. Notice that $M_k^m$ reduces to $\binom{m}{k}$ in the unrefined limit $q_1 = q_2$. Also, $[j]_\wedge$ and $[j]_s$ are related via $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$, which will later be seen as an analogue of the level-rank duality in the ordinary Chern-Simons theory.

### 5.1 Refined commutation and Serre relations




**Figure 14:** A linear relation satisfied by three Wilson line configurations, which are colored in antisymmetric representations.

Now, we study the refinement of the $[E, F]$ relation. Just as in the ordinary Chern-Simons theory, the three Wilson lines in Figure 14 satisfy a linear relation (here, $j, m$ stand for $\wedge^j\square$ and $\wedge^m\square$, resp.) Next, we glue them with two other Wilson line configurations, as shown in Figure 15. In Figure 15(a), we have taken the "trace" by gluing Wilson lines inside




**Figure 15:** (a) Closing Wilson lines along orbits of $U(1)$ action on $S^3$. (b) Attaching a Wilson line $\wedge^{j+m}\square \to \wedge^j\square \otimes \wedge^m\square$ from below.

$S^3 \setminus B^3$ so that the $j$- and $m$-colored Wilson lines wrap around orbits of $U(1)$ action on $S^3$. In Figure 15(b), we have simply attached another 3-ball which contains a junction of Wilson line, $\wedge^{j+m}\square \to \wedge^j\square \otimes \wedge^m\square$.




$$\langle \phi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle = \frac{\langle \phi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle\langle \psi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle}{\langle \psi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle} \quad \text{(connected sum formula)}$$

**Figure 16:** Evaluation of the $\alpha_{j,m}$-term via connected sum formula.

Using associativity and digon removal relations in Figure 15(b), one can immediately obtain a linear relation of coefficients $\alpha_{j,m}, \beta_{j,m}, \gamma_{j,m}$. We can obtaion another from Figure 15(a) as follows: let us first consider the $\alpha_{j,m}$-term in Figure 15(a). Consider a 3-ball (depicted as a red dashed circle in the top left corner of Figure 16), which contains $m$- and $(m-1)$- colored Wilson lines. A path integral on the closed 3-ball determines a vector $|\phi_{in}\rangle \in H_{\{S^2;\square,\bar{\square}\}}$. Performing a path integral on the complement determines a vector in the dual Hilbert space, $\langle \phi_{out}| \in H^*_{\{S^2;\square,\bar{\square}\}}$. Then, the refined expectation value of the $\alpha_{j,m}$-term is simply their inner product, $\langle \phi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle$ (see top left corner of Figure 16.) Now, consider a separate configuration, in which a Wilson loop colored in $\square$ wraps an entire $U(1)$ orbit of $S^3$. Cut the 3-sphere into two 3-balls so that their boundaries have two punctures (top right corner of Figure 16.) Then, the interior of the red dashed circle will determine a vector $|\psi_{in}\rangle \in H_{\{S^2;\square,\bar{\square}\}}$, while the exterior determines a vector $\langle \psi_{out}| \in H^*_{\{S^2;\square,\bar{\square}\}}$. Now that $H_{\{S^2;\square,\bar{\square}\}}$ is one-dimensional, $|\phi_{out}\rangle \propto |\psi_{out}\rangle$ and $\langle \phi_{in}| \propto \langle \psi_{in}|$. Therefore, we can write the

refined expectation value of the $\alpha_{j,m}$-term $\langle\phi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle$, in terms of $\langle\phi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle$, $\langle\psi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle$ and $\langle\psi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle$ as shown in the connected sum formula of Figure 16. We can compute the refined expectation values $\langle\phi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle$, $\langle\psi_{out}|\phi_{in}\rangle$ and $\langle\psi_{out}|\psi_{in}\rangle$ by digon removal relations along with global corrections when needed.

Doing the same with $\beta_{j,m}$-term, we obtain two linear relations for three undetermined coefficients $\alpha_{j,m}, \beta_{j,m}, \gamma_{j,m}$, from which we can determine their ratio:

$$\alpha_{j,m} N_{1,j}^{j+1} M_1^{j+1} M_{j+1}^N M_1^m M_m^N + \beta_{j,m} N_{1,m}^{m+1} M_1^j M_j^N M_1^{m+1} M_{m+1}^N + \gamma_{j,m} M_1^N M_j^N M_m^N = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

$$\alpha_{j,m} M_1^{j+1} M_1^m + \beta_{j,m} M_1^j M_1^{m+1} + \gamma_{j,m} = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{j,m} : \beta_{j,m} : \gamma_{j,m} = -\frac{[j+1]_\wedge}{[j+1]_{q_2}} : \frac{[m+1]_\wedge}{[m+1]_{q_2}} : [m-j]_{q_2}. \tag{5.3}$$

Note that in the limit $\beta \to 1$, the ratio becomes $-1 : 1 : [m-j]_q$, which reproduces the commutation relation in ordinary Chern-Simons theory.

We wish to provide another example, the refined Serre relation. By repeatedly applying refined commutation relations, we can derive the refined square switch relation (Figure 17), where coefficients $A$ and $B$ in Figure 17 are given by:




**Figure 17:** A square switch relation from which the Serre relation follows.

$$A = \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}\left[(-\frac{\gamma_{2,l}}{\beta_{2,l}}) + (-\frac{\alpha_{2,l}}{\beta_{2,l}})(-\frac{\gamma_{3,l-1}}{\beta_{3,l-1}}) + \cdots + (-\frac{\alpha_{2,l}}{\beta_{2,l}})\cdots(-\frac{\alpha_{l,2}}{\beta_{l,2}})(-\frac{\gamma_{l+1,1}}{\beta_{l+1,1}})\right],$$
$$= \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}(-\frac{\gamma_{l+1,1}}{\beta_{l+1,1}}) = \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}\frac{[l]_{q_2}[2]_{q_2}}{[2]_\wedge}, \quad \text{and}$$
$$B = \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}(-\frac{\alpha_{2,l}}{\beta_{2,l}})(-\frac{\alpha_{3,l-1}}{\beta_{3,l-1}})\cdots(-\frac{\alpha_{l+1,1}}{\beta_{l+1,1}}) = \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}(-\frac{\alpha_{l+1,1}}{\beta_{l+1,1}}) = \frac{1}{[l]_{q_2}!}\frac{[l+2]_\wedge[2]_{q_2}}{[l+2]_{q_2}[2]_\wedge}.$$

Then, it remains to use associativity and digon removal relations to turn $(l-1)$ and $l$ parallel $\square$-colored Wilson lines into a single $\wedge^{l-1}\square$- and $\wedge^l\square$-colored Wilson lines. Applying the resultant "sqaure switch" relation as in [17], we obtain the refined Serre relation (Figure 18):




**Figure 18:** The refined Serre relation.

### 5.2 Rescaling of quantum group generators

Under the skew-Howe duality functor [16, 17], the commutation relation in ordinary Chern-Simons theory can be recast as:

$$[E_i, F_i]1_\lambda = [k_{i+1} - k_i]_q 1_\lambda,$$

where $\lambda = (k_1, \cdots, k_n)$ is a weight vector of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$.

In the refined case, however, one immediately sees that the refined commutation relation (Figure 14 and Equation 5.3) is not a commutation relation but a "twisted" commutation relation:

$$\frac{[k_i+1]_\wedge}{[k_i+1]_{q_2}}E_iF_i1_\lambda - \frac{[k_{i+1}+1]_\wedge}{[k_{i+1}+1]_{q_2}}F_iE_i1_\lambda = [k_{i+1}-k_i]_{q_2}1_\lambda. \tag{5.4}$$

We can interpret Equation 5.4 in two ways: (1) the $\beta$-deformation of $\dot{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ involves twisted commutators instead of the ordinary commutators, or (2) the skew-Howe duality functor should also be refined so that the refined $[E, F]$ relation becomes a commutation relation. In this section, we propose a refinement of skew-Howe duality functor which will turn the refined $[E, F]$ relation into a commutation relation. Let us "rescale" the quantum group generators up to a proportionality constant as in Figure 19.




**Figure 19:** Refined skew-Howe duality functor for $\lambda = (k_1, \cdots, k_n)$.

Upon such a rescaling, Equation 5.4 will read as follows:

$$\frac{[k_i+1]_\wedge}{[k_i+1]_{q_2}} \frac{1}{C_{k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1}C'_{k_i,k_{i+1}}} E_iF_i1_\lambda - \frac{[k_{i+1}+1]_\wedge}{[k_{i+1}+1]_{q_2}} \frac{1}{C'_{k_i-1,k_{i+1}+1}C_{k_i,k_{i+1}}} F_iE_i1_\lambda = [k_{i+1}-k_i]_{q_2}1_\lambda. \tag{5.5}$$

To get an ordinary commutation relation, the coefficient of $EF$-term and $FE$-term must be equal with an opposite sign:

$$\frac{C_{k_i,k_{i+1}}C'_{k_i-1,k_{i+1}+1}}{C_{k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1}C'_{k_i,k_{i+1}}} = \frac{[k_{i+1}+1]_\wedge[k_i+1]_{q_2}}{[k_{i+1}+1]_{q_2}[k_i+1]_\wedge}.$$

Notice that the denominator and nominator of LHS are related by a simple exchange of $k_i \leftrightarrow k_i+1$ and $k_{i+1} \leftrightarrow k_{i+1}-1$. The following choice of "rescaling" puts the refined $[E,F]$ relation into an ordinary commutatin relation:

$$C_{k_i,k_{i+1}} = [k_i]_\wedge!/[k_{i+1}+1]_{q_2}!, \quad C'_{k_i,k_{i+1}} = [k_{i+1}]_\wedge!/[k_i+1]_{q_2}! \tag{5.6}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad [E_i,F_i]1_\lambda = [k_{i+1}-k_i]_{q_2} \frac{[k_i]_\wedge![k_{i+1}]_\wedge!}{[k_i]_{q_2}![k_{i+1}]_{q_2}!}1_\lambda. \tag{5.7}$$

Correction on the RHS is ominous from the categorification perspective, for it is a huge rational function of $q_1$ and $q_2$ which is not readily associated to a graded dimension. One may wish for a better way to rescale the quantum group generators, but it is shown in Appendix A that there is an inevitable trade-off between the choice of twisted/ordinary commutation relations and the huge rational functions in $q_1, q_2$ on the RHS.




**Figure 20:** Junctions that appear in the super Howe duality functor. Above: monochromatic edges and their trivalent junctions. Below: mixed-color trivalent junctions. Mirror images are also generators.

## 6. Refined super Howe duality and $\beta$-deformed quantum supergroup

In this section, we include refined Wilson lines which are colored in symmetric representations and propose a refined super Howe duality. Following [34], we distinguish Wilson lines colored




**Figure 21:** Monochromatic relations (the same holds for green edges): (a) digon removal, (b) associativity, and (c) the monochromatic [E,F] relation. Mixed-color relation: (d) the mixed-color [E,F] relation.

in symmetric/antisymmetric representations by their colors, as depicted in Figure 20. The red/green/black edges are colored in symmetric/antisymmetric/fundamental representations, respectively. The junctions in Figure 20 generate the green-red web category of [34], and they satisfy the relations in Figure 21.

### 6.1 Symmetric commutation relation

To refine the network of Wilson lines colored in symmetric representations, we again consider the circle removal and the digon removal relations (Figure 22).

The circle removal relation represents the expectation value of a Wilson loop colored in $Sym^m\square$, so it must be refined to Macdonald polynomials for $Sym^m\square$. The digon removal




**Figure 22:** Left: unrefined expectation value of a Wilson loop in representation $Sym^m\Box$. Right: unrefined digon removal relation of symmetric-colored Wilson lines.

relation also allows an interpretation as a circle removal relation of a smaller gauge group, via an analogue of the level-rank duality:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Sym_m\Box}(q_2^{\rho_N};q_1,q_2) &= \frac{q_2^{\frac{N}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{q_2^{\frac{N}{2}}q_1^{\frac{1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{N}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{\frac{1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\cdots\frac{q_2^{\frac{N}{2}}q_1^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{N}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{q_1^{\frac{k}{2}}-q_1^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{q_1^{\frac{k}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}-q_1^{-\frac{k}{2}}q_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{\frac{1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\cdots\frac{q_1^{\frac{k}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}-q_1^{-\frac{k}{2}}q_1^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q_1}\cdot\frac{[m]_{q_1}!}{[m]_*!} = M_{\wedge^m\Box}(q_1^{\rho_k};q_2,q_1)\frac{[m]_{q_1}!}{[m]_*!}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from $q_2^{N/2}=e^{\pi i\beta N/(\beta N+k)}=-e^{-\pi ik/(\beta N+k)}=-q_1^{-k/2}$. Notice that the correspondence between $M_{Sym_m\Box}(q_2^{\rho_N};q_1,q_2)$ and $M_{\wedge^m\Box}(q_1^{\rho_k};q_2,q_1)$ very much resembles the level-rank duality in ordinary Chern-Simons theory; in the refined case, replacing $\beta\leftrightarrow 1/\beta$ exchanges $q_1\leftrightarrow q_2$, $k\leftrightarrow N$ and $Sym^m\Box\leftrightarrow\wedge^m\Box$. Although such a correspondence is only an analogue, we can use it as an intuition to propose a digon removal relation for the symmetric Wilson lines (Figure 23).




**Figure 23:** Left: refined expectation value of a Wilson loop in representation $Sym^m\Box$. Right: refined digon removal relation of symmetric-colored Wilson lines.

Now let us study $[E,F]$ relation of symmetric-colored Wilson lines. We embed the Wilson

lines of the symmetric $[E,F]$ relation into the Wilson lines of Figure 15, but this time the Wilson lines are colored in symmetric representations. The refined Littlewood-Richardson coefficients are trivial $\hat{N}^{Sym^{l+1}\square}_{\square,Sym^l\square} = 1\,\forall l$, so the homotopies do not involve global corrections. As a result, we obtain the following linear relations:

$$\alpha[j+1]_{q_1}[m]_{q_1}M^N_{j+1}M^N_m + \beta[j]_{q_1}[m+1]_{q_1}M^N_j M^N_{m+1} + \gamma M^N_1 M^N_k M^N_l = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\alpha[j+1]_{q_1}[m]_{q_1} + \beta[j]_{q_1}[m+1]_{q_1} + \gamma = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha:\beta:\gamma = -\frac{[j+1]_s}{[j+1]_{q_1}} : \frac{[m+1]_s}{[m+1]_{q_1}} : [m-j]_{q_1}. \tag{6.3}$$

Notice that Equation 6.3 is related to the antisymmetric $[E,F]$ relation (Equation 5.3) via $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$. Therefore, the symmetric refined Serre relation can be obtained by exchanging $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ from its antisymmetric counterpart.




**Figure 24:** Above: symmetric, refined $[E,F]$ relation of junctions. Middle: a refinement of symmetric Howe duality functor. Below: refined $[E,F]$ relation rescaled to an ordinary commutation relation.

The symmetric $[E,F]$ relation of junctions in Figure 24 is again a twisted commutation relation. Therefore, we may attempt at finding a refinement of the symmetric Howe duality functor, which will turn the commutation relation of quantum group generators into a commutation relation. The rescaling and the resultant non-twisted commutation relation is provided in Figure 25.




$$[E_i,F_i]1_\lambda = [k_{i+1}-k_i]_{q_1}\frac{[k_i]_s![k_{i+1}]_s!}{[k_i]_{q_1}![k_{i+1}]_{q_1}!}1_\lambda.$$

**Figure 25:** A refinement of symmetric Howe duality functor, and the resultant symmetric $[E,F]$ commutation relation.

### 6.2 Mixed-color commutation relation

Of all the generating relations of the quantum supergroup, what remains is the mixed-color $[E, F]$ relation, Figure 21 (d). Following the derivation of [34], we can fix the coefficients of the relevant Wilson lines as in Figure 26.




**Figure 26:** Mixed-color $[E, F]$ relation of Wilson lines

We may attempt at finding a refinement of the super Howe duality functor, by which the mixed-color $[E, F]$ relation becomes an untwisted anticommutation relation. It is indeed possible to do so, but such a refinement involves nontrivial powers of $(q_1^{1/2} - q_1^{-1/2})$ and $(q_2^{1/2} - q_2^{-1/2})$ on the RHS of the commuation relation, which totally obscures the connection with unrefined limit $q_1 = q_2$. So instead we refine the super Howe duality functor as in Figure 27, and obtain the resultant quantum supergroup relation, which is a twisted commutator relation (but a more intuitive one.)

$$(q_1^{1/2} - q_1^{-1/2})EF1_\lambda + (q_2^{1/2} - q_2^{-1/2})FE1_\lambda = (q_1^{k_{i+1}/2}q_2^{k_i/2} - q_1^{-k_{i+1}/2}q_2^{-k_i/2})\frac{[k_{i+1}]_s![k_i]_\wedge!}{[k_{i+1}]_{q_1}[k_i]_{q_2}}1_\lambda.$$




**Figure 27:** A refinement of super Howe duality functor.

## 7. Discussions

As was pointed out multiple times throughout this paper, we need to understand the physical interpretation of junction degrees of freedom in the refined theory. Another question

is whether we can compute the refined expectation values of knots/links by resolution of crossings. Recall that $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ polynomials can be computed by resolving the knots/links into a linear sum of MOY graphs. An analogous technique in the refined theory would allow us to compute the refined expectation values of non-torus knots/links as well. In the context of representation theory, Wilson lines with crossings come with a natural braid group action which factorizes through a Hecke algebra action. Thus, the polynomial knot invariants may be thought as polynomial representations of Hecke algebras. Now, let us replace each crossing by a linear sum of networks. Then, one finds the quantum group action on the resultant trivalent graphs as we have seen before. The question is whether the correspondence between Hecke algebra and quantum groups (summarized in Figure 28) extend to their $\beta$-deformations. Indeed, the expectation values of unknotted Wislon loops in refined Chern-




**Figure 28:** Correspondence between the braided/network of Wilson lines

Simons theory are given by MacDonald polynomials, which are polynomial representations of double affine Hecke algebra (DAHA). If the correspondence extends to the refined case, our $\beta$-deformed quantum groups can serve as the starting point for the higher representation theory of DAHA, much of which is unknown at present.

## Acknowledgments

The author is deeply indebted to Aaron Lauda and Sergei Gukov for their suggestions and invaluable discussions.

The work is funded in part by the DOE Grant DE-SC0011632 and the Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics, and also by the Samsung Scholarship.

## A. A no-go theorem: the refined commutation relation of junctions cannot be put into a categorification-friendly commutation relation of quantum group generators

In this section, we exhibit that the refined $[E, F]$ relation cannot be written in a categorification-friendly commutation relation. Let us first write down $[E, F]$ and Serre relation of antisymmetric colored Wilson lines, with the digon removal relation left as abstract. The purpose of such an abstraction is to exhibit that the ominous rational functions of $q_1, q_2$ on the RHS of Equation 5.7 are indeed inevitable.




**Figure 29:** An abstract digon removal relation. Left: digon removal relation for antisymmetric-colored Wilson lines. Right: digon removal relation for the symmetric-colored Wilson lines.

Using the abstract digon removal relation depicted in Figure 29, the Equation 5.3 and Equation 6.3 becomes:

$$\alpha_{j,m}N_{1,j}^{1+j}D_{1,j}D_{1,m-1}M_{j+1}M_m + \beta_{j,m}N_{1,m}^{1+m}D_{1,j-1}D_{1,m}M_jM_{m+1} + \gamma_{j,m}M_1M_jM_m = 0, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\alpha_{j,m}D_{1,j}D1, m-1 + \beta_{j,m}D_{1,j-1}D_{1,m} + \gamma = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{j,m}D_{1,j}D_{1,m-1} : \beta_{j,m}D_{1,j-1}D_{1,m} : \gamma = -[j+1]_\wedge[m]_{q_2} : [j]_{q_2}[m+1]_\wedge : [m-j]_{q_2} \tag{A.3}$$

for the antisymmetric-colored Wilson lines. Then, the refined $[E, F]$ relation becomes (Figure 30):




**Figure 30:** Refined antisymmetric $[E, F]$ relation with digon removal abstraction

Is there a smart choice of $D_{i,j}$ which turns Figure 30 into an ordinary (non-twisted) $[E, F]$ relation? Let's suppose we can, and then $D_{i,j}$'s must satisfy the following equation:

$$\frac{D_{1,j}}{D_{1,j-1}}\frac{D_{1,m-1}}{D_{1,m}} = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge}{[j]_{q_2}}\frac{[m]_{q_2}}{[m+1]_\wedge} \tag{A.4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{D_{1,j}}{D_{1,j-1}} = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge}{[j]_{q_2}}. \tag{A.5}$$

The last line follows from the fact that the $j$- and $m$-dependence factorize. One choice of $D_{1,j}$ which allows us to obtain the ordinary commutation relation is:

$$D_{1,j} = [j+1]_\wedge!/[j]_{q_2}!$$

Recall that $D_{1,j}$, the coefficient of a local digon removal relation, counts the graded dimension of a composite morphism $\wedge^{1+j}\square \to \square \otimes \wedge^{j}\square \to \wedge^{1+j}$. The above expression, although it reduces to $[j+1]_q$ in the unrefined limit, does not seem to be so categorification-friendly. What if we add the rescaling of quantum group generators? Can we get an ordinary commutation relation which is also categorification-friendly? Combining Figure 19 and Figure 30, we find the following refined $[E,F]$ relation of quantum generators:

$$\frac{[j+1]_\wedge [m]_{q_2}}{D_{1,j}D_{1,m-1}} \frac{1}{C_{j+1,m-1}C'_{j,m}} EF1_\lambda - \frac{[j]_{q_2}[m+1]_\wedge}{D_{1,j-1}D_{1,m}} FE1_\lambda \frac{1}{C_{j,m}C'_{j-1,m+1}} = [m-j]_{q_2} 1_\lambda. \quad \text{(A.6)}$$

Suppose we can put Equation A.6 into an ordinary commutation relation. Then, $C_{j,m}, C'_{j,m}$ and $D_{i,j}$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$\frac{C_{j+1,m-1}}{C_{j,m}} \frac{C'_{j,m}}{C'_{j-1,m+1}} = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge D_{1,j-1}}{[j]_{q_2} D_{1,j}} \frac{[m]_{q_2} D_{1,m}}{[m+1]_\wedge D_{1,m-1}}, \quad \text{(A.7)}$$

where again the $j$- and $m$-dependence factorizes. Therefore, we may factorize $C_{j,m}$ and $C'_{j,m}$ into $C_{j,m} = A_j B_m$, $C'_{j,m} = A'_j B'_m$. Then, the Equation A.7 becomes:

$$\frac{A_{j+1}A'_j}{A_j A'_{j-1}} = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge D_{1,j-1}}{[j]_{q_2} D_{1,j}}, \quad \frac{B_{m-1}B'_m}{B_m B'_{m+1}} = \frac{[m]_{q_2} D_{1,m}}{[m+1]_\wedge D_{1,m-1}}, \quad \text{(A.8)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad A_{j+1}A'_j = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge D_{1,j-1}}{[j]_{q_2} D_{1,j}} \frac{[j]_\wedge D_{1,j-2}}{[j-1]_{q_2} D_{1,j-1}} \cdots \frac{[2]_\wedge D_{1,0}}{[1]_{q_2} D_{1,1}} A_1 A'_0 = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge !}{[j]_{q_2}! D_{1,j}} A_1 A'_0, \quad \text{(A.9)}$$

$$B_m B'_{m+1} = \frac{[m+1]_\wedge D_{1,m-1}}{[m]_{q_2} D_{1,m}} \frac{[m]_\wedge D_{1,m-2}}{[m-1]_{q_2} D_{1,m-1}} \cdots \frac{[2]_\wedge D_{1,0}}{[1]_{q_2} D_{1,1}} B_0 B'_1 = \frac{[m+1]_\wedge !}{[m]_{q_2}! D_{1,m}} B_0 B'_1. \quad \text{(A.10)}$$

Now notice that $A_1 B_0 = A'_0 B'_1 = 1$, for $E1_{(1,0)}$ and $F1_{(0,1)}$ are homotopic to identity morphisms. Therefore, we may simply put $A_1 = A'_0 = A_1 = B_0 = 1$ (since they possess no $j$- or $m$-dependence) so that the last two equalities of Equation A.10 becomes:

$$A_{j+1}A'_j = \frac{[j+1]_\wedge !}{[j]_{q_2}! D_{1,j}}, \quad B_m B'_{m+1} = \frac{[m+1]_\wedge !}{[m]_{q_2}! D_{1,m}} \quad \text{(A.11)}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{j,m}C'_{j-1,m+1} = \frac{[j]_{q_2}[m+1]_\wedge}{D_{1,j-1}D_{1,m}} \frac{[j]_\wedge ![m]_\wedge !}{[j]_{q_2}![m]_{q_2}!}. \quad \text{(A.12)}$$

Consider any choice of $A_j, B_m, A'_j, B'_m$ which satisfy Equation A.12. Plugging them in the Equation A.6, we can rescale the refined $[E,F]$ relation to an ordinary commutation relation as follows:

$$[E,F]1_\lambda = [m-j]_{q_2} \frac{[j]_\wedge ![m]_\wedge !}{[j]_{q_2}![m]_{q_2}!} 1_\lambda. \quad \text{(A.13)}$$

This shows that once we rescale the refined $[E, F]$ relation to an ordinary commutation relation, the monstrous multiplication coefficient on the RHS of Equation A.13. For symmetric-colored Wilson lines the situation is completely analogous, and we only need to exchange $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$.

## References


[1] E. Witten, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351–399.

[2] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000) 359, [math/9908171].

[3] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorizations and link homology*, *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Jan., 2004) [math/0401268].

[4] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorizations and link homology II*, *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (May, 2005) [math/0505056].

[5] H. Wu, *A colored sl(N)-homology for links in S^3*, *ArXiv e-prints* (July, 2009) [arXiv:0907.0695].

[6] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *On the gauge theory / geometry correspondence*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1415–1443, [hep-th/9811131].

[7] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *M theory and topological strings. 1.*, hep-th/9809187.

[8] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *M theory and topological strings. 2.*, hep-th/9812127.

[9] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Knot invariants and topological strings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B577** (2000) 419–438, [hep-th/9912123].

[10] J. M. F. Labastida, M. Marino, and C. Vafa, *Knots, links and branes at large N*, *JHEP* **11** (2000) 007, [hep-th/0010102].

[11] S. Gukov, A. S. Schwarz, and C. Vafa, *Khovanov-Rozansky homology and topological strings*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **74** (2005) 53–74, [hep-th/0412243].

[12] S. Gukov, *Gauge theory and knot homologies*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **55** (2007) 473–490, [arXiv:0706.2369].

[13] E. Witten, *Fivebranes and Knots*, arXiv:1101.3216.

[14] E. Witten, *Gauge Theories and Integrable Lattice Models*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B322** (1989) 629–697.

[15] E. Witten, *Gauge Theories, Vertex Models and Quantum Groups*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B330** (1990) 285–346.

[16] S. Chun, S. Gukov, and D. Roggenkamp, *Junctions of surface operators and categorification of quantum groups*, arXiv:1507.0631.

[17] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and S. Morrison, *Webs and quantum skew Howe duality*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct., 2012) [arXiv:1210.6437].

[18] M. Aganagic and S. Shakirov, *Knot Homology and Refined Chern-Simons Index*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **333** (2015), no. 1 187–228, [arXiv:1105.5117].

[19] H. Murakami, T. Ohtsuki, and S. Yamada, *Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs*, *Enseign. Math.* **44** (1998) 325–360.

[20] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of $GL_n$*, *Duke Math. J.* **61** (10, 1990) 655–677.

[21] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2010.

[22] H. Queffelec and D. E. V. Rose, *The $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ foam 2-category: a combinatorial formulation of Khovanov-Rozansky homology via categorical skew Howe duality*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2014) [arXiv:1405.5920].

[23] D. Bar-Natan, *Khovanov's homology for tangles and cobordisms*, *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Oct., 2004) [math/0410495].

[24] S. Gukov and J. Walcher, *Matrix factorizations and Kauffman homology*, hep-th/0512298.

[25] N. Carqueville and D. Murfet, *Computing Khovanov-Rozansky homology and defect fusion*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Aug., 2011) [arXiv:1108.1081].

[26] S. Gukov and M. Sto?i?, *Homological Algebra of Knots and BPS States*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **85** (2012) 125–172, [arXiv:1112.0030].

[27] I. Brunner, M. Herbst, W. Lerche, and B. Scheuner, *Landau-Ginzburg realization of open string TFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 043, [hep-th/0305133].

[28] A. Kapustin and Y. Li, *D branes in Landau-Ginzburg models and algebraic geometry*, *JHEP* **12** (2003) 005, [hep-th/0210296].

[29] I. Brunner and D. Roggenkamp, *B-type defects in Landau-Ginzburg models*, *JHEP* **08** (2007) 093, [arXiv:0707.0922].

[30] K. Hori and J. Walcher, *F-term equations near Gepner points*, *JHEP* **01** (2005) 008, [hep-th/0404196].

[31] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford mathematical monographs. Clarendon Press New York, Oxford, 1995. 1ere Impression brochee 1998. Retirage 2002, 2003, 2004.

[32] P. Dunin-Barkowski, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Sleptsov, and A. Smirnov, *Superpolynomials for toric knots from evolution induced by cut-and-join operators*, *JHEP* **03** (2013) 021, [arXiv:1106.4305].

[33] H. Awata, S. Gukov, P. Sulkowski, and H. Fuji, *Volume Conjecture: Refined and Categorified*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **16** (2012), no. 6 1669–1777, [arXiv:1203.2182].

[34] D. Tubbenhauer, P. Vaz, and P. Wedrich, *Super q-Howe duality and web categories*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2015) [arXiv:1504.0506].